

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,802	03/22/2004		James H. Cink	WMMG 3562.4	9954
321	7590	11/22/2005		EXAMINER	
SENNIGE			ROWAN, KURT C		
16TH FLOC		AN SQUARE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS, MO 63102				3643	
				DATE MAIL ED. 11/22/200	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/805,802	CINK ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Kurt Rowan	3643

Advisory Action	10/805,802	CINK ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kurt Rowan	3643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion of time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evided compliance with 37 C	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
 a)	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th	e final rejection, whicheve	r is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	RST REPLY WAS FILED	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the safter the mailing date of the final rejection.	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, may	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) y reduce any
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explanations and some street of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be amendments 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further contains 	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	pecause
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	,	Ab - i
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- 	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w	ill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). November 18, 2 March 27	004 and 2, 2004
		Kurt Rowan Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3643	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues, in reference to claims 15, 27, 29, that Bishoff et al. does not teach or suggest an aggregation base having a generally cylindrical outer surface and at least one channel passing through the aggregation base. Applicant further argues that the applied case law of In re Dailey does not apply because rejections based on matrers of design choice are improper. However in applicants own specification in paragraph numbered 30, it is stated that the aggregation base may have different geometic shapes In paragraph numbered 25, it is stated that the base has a generally cylindrical shape, but that the housing may be any other suitable shape. From these two paragaphs it is clear that other shapes of the base and the aggregation base are contemplated. Also another of embodiment of Bishoff shows a cylindrical aggregation base. Further, applicant has not shown any unexpected results drawn to the shape of the base and aggregation base. Hence the shape of the aggregation base is a matter of design choice noting that no stated problem is solved by a cylindrical shaped aggregation base Appllicant argues that bishoff does not show a channel passing complety through the aggregation base, but clearly there is a channel between the blocks 122 that passes completely between them which would provide access for the termites.